	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/775,046	ANTONIUS DEBETS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Janet L. Andres	1646
All Participants: Status of Application: S		
(1) <u>Janet L. Andres</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Sheela Mohan-Peterson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 June 2004	Time: <u>2:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 21, 22  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed thatinhibiting would be substituted for "modulating" in claim 21 by Examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative pointed out that this change would reneder claim 22 duplicative and this claim will therefore be cancelled		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
1		
11. 920e		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)